



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:
PELLICONI, et al.

Serial No.: 10/518,692

Group Art Unit: 1796

Filed: December 16, 2004

Examiner: N.M. NUTTER

Title: **IMPACT-RESISTANT POLYOLEFIN COMPOSITIONS**

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.116

Commissioner of Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action bearing a mailing date of October 18, 2007. The three-month shortened statutory period to respond was set to expire on January 18, 2008. A petition for a one month extension of time accompanies this response. As such, this response is timely filed.

Also accompanying this response is a Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement.

In view of the following remarks, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to reconsider and withdraw the outstanding rejections and allow all claims pending in this application.

1. Double Patenting Rejection

The Office Action states,

Claims 1-9 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of copending Application No. 10/518,882 (US 2005/027874), Pelliconi et al. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the